

Request for Quotation

CARE SUDAN CO

Nile Tower, 5th Floor, Building 20
Block 10, Mamoun Behairy Street
Khartoum
Sudan



Dispatch via Print

Request Quote ID.	Date	Buyer	Page
SDN01-0000025168	08/19/2025	Maha Gadim	1
Payment Terms	DateTime Quote Open	Closing	
Due Now	08/20/2025 04:47:00		

Ship To: Nile Tower, 5th Floor, Building 20
Block 10, Mamoun Behairy Street
Khartoum
Sudan

Bill To: Nile Tower, 5th Floor, Building 20
Block 10, Mamoun Behairy Street
Khartoum
Sudan

Line	Item	Description	Mfg ID	Mfg Item ID	Quantity	UOM	Need Date
1		Consultant for Midterm review			1.0000	EA	

Freight Terms: DES

Ship Via: COMMON

This is NOT AN ORDER

All returned quotes and related documents must be identified with our request for quote Number.

Authorized Signature

CARE International in Sudan
Terms of Reference (TOR) Mid Term Review



Required: National Consultant

Project Title: Improved food security, livelihoods, and resilience through promotion of peaceful coexistence among refugees, IDPs, and host communities in South Kordofan (BMZ South Kordofan)

Duration of Appointment: 35 days

Expected Start Date: September 1st, 2025

1. Background on CARE

CARE International in Sudan has been operational in Sudan since 2009, with humanitarian, early recovery and peace building interventions. Sectors involved include Peace building, WASH, Health & Nutrition, livelihood diversification and Economic Empowerment. Through funding from German Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ), CARE has been providing lifesaving support and building the resilience of IDPs, refugees and vulnerable host communities in South Kordofan in selected localities. CARE has also been supporting the urgent needs of those displaced by increasing access to a safe water and sanitation facilities, developing inclusive WASH management structures, and providing an emergency nutrition response through a Community Based Management of Acute Malnutrition (CMAM) intervention for malnourished children U5 and pregnant and lactating women. CARE strongly supports and works with national NGOs, Community Based Organizations, government line ministries to achieve its strategies and goals in Sudan.

2. Background to the project:

CARE International in Sudan with funding from BMZ Germany is implementing the Improved food security, livelihoods and resilience through promotion of peaceful coexistence among refugees, IDPs, and host communities in South Kordofan. The project is targeting IDPs, returnees, and host communities in South Kordofan, especially women, youth, and farmers. People with disabilities will also be targeted.

The project contributes to building the resilience of individuals, households, communities, and institutions in the target areas in the South Kordofan state of Sudan achieve food security, address harmful gender norms, and strengthen the capacity to mitigate conflicts and the effects of climate change. To better address the impacts of decades of conflict in South Kordofan that are coupled with the ongoing armed clashes, climate, and other recurrent shocks, the project takes an integrated, multi-sectoral approach that combines strengthening sustainable livelihoods, access to basic services, promoting adaptive capacity, and conflict mitigation. In doing so, the project promotes greater inclusion of women, youth, people with disabilities, and other marginalized groups in individual project activities, while addressing the predominant patriarchal decision-making processes and leadership roles that is entrenched in the communities.

2.1. Brief description of project target and results:



The target groups are **IDPs, returnees, and host communities in South Kordofan, especially women, youth, and farmers.** People with a disability will also be targeted.

Number of people targeted (individuals):

directly: 112,800 persons (approx. 18,800 HH), *of which women:* 64,295 female adults, (10,000 girls), *of which men:* 48,505 male adults, (including 5,414 boys)

indirectly: Approx. 46,300 (19,909 men, 20,835 women, 2,277 boys, 3,279 girls).

Project numbers and structures:

The project works with the following institutions at both state and community level: the Department of Livestock, Department of Agriculture, Ministry of Social Affairs, Humanitarian Aid Commission (HAC), Community Structures such as village development committees, Producer associations, Private sector, Water Users Associations, Conflict resolution mechanisms, and Community Based Natural Resources Management committees.

Project Outcomes/results:

Project objective: Increased resilience at the individual, family, and community levels, with a particular focus on women and youth, by improving food security, addressing harmful gender norms, and strengthening capacity to mitigate conflicts and the effects of climate change in Sudan's South Kordofan State.

Outcome 1: Men, women, boys, and girls in target communities have improved their food security and livelihoods through diversified agriculture, income-generating activities, and social capital.

Outcome 2: Improved access to water resources for domestic consumption and productive use, and improved management capacity to anticipate, absorb, and manage shocks and stresses.

Outcome 3: Capacity built for conflict mitigation and inclusive natural resource management, led by women and people with special needs.

Measuring indicators:

The review should provide information about the progress done towards achievement of the designed results indicators listed below

	Indicator
OUTCOME 1: Men, women, boys, and girls in target communities have improved their food security and livelihoods through diversified agriculture, income-generating activities, and social capital.	1.1 60% increase (xxx baseline) in annual household harvest (disaggregated by gender, location, crop type, etc) by the end of the project
	1.2 80% households (xxx baseline) using improved seeds to improve food security (disaggregated by gender, location, hazards/disabilities) by the end of the project
	1.3 60% increase (x baseline) in annual net income of project-supported women and youth through diversified income sources (disaggregated by location, vulnerability, etc.) by project end



	1.4 80% households (x baseline) reduced negative coping mechanisms/strategies (disaggregated by gender, location, age, vulnerability) by project end
OUTCOME 2: Improved access to water resources for domestic consumption and productive use, and improved management capacity to anticipate, absorb, and manage shocks and stresses.	2.1 Increased number of communities with emergency preparedness plans by 70% (x baseline, disaggregated by location, hazards/disabilities)
	2.2 Increased safe access to safe water supply for households in targeted areas by 75% (x baseline, disaggregated by gender, location, hazards/disabilities) by end of 2026
	2.3 60% households (x baseline) have increased knowledge of asset and resource management (disaggregated by gender and location, hazards/disabilities)
	2.4 70% households (x baseline) using at least 2 disaster risk reduction mechanisms by project end (disaggregated by gender, location, vulnerability/disability)
	2.5 60% households and institutions (x baseline) using monitoring and early warning systems by project end (disaggregated by gender, location, vulnerability/disability).
OUTCOMES 3: Capacity built for conflict mitigation and inclusive natural resource management, led by women and people with special needs.	3.1 Household awareness of peaceful coexistence increased by 60% (x baseline, disaggregated by gender, place of residence, age, hazard/disability) by project end
	3.2 Decrease incidents of violence and disputes by 60% reported by local communities (x baseline, disaggregated by gender, age, place, hazard/disability) by project end
	3.3 90% increase (x baseline) in the functioning of community structures with inclusive and participatory decision-making by project end
	3.4 60% increase in number of women and youth participating in peace-building/conflict resolution processes (x baseline, disaggregated by location, hazard/impediment)
	3.5. # Number of women and young people in leadership and decision-making positions for platforms for peace consolidation and conflict resolution (x baseline) until the end of the project

3. Purpose/objectives of the Mid-term Review:



The purpose of this mid-term review is to check if the intervention and expected program outcomes are on track and to make recommendations on how best to meet objectives in the remaining project period. The mid-term review will be used to identify which outputs are being delivered according to plan, which are being exceeded and whether any activities are falling behind and reasons. It is also important to assess whether the achievements till date are on course to achieve the intended outcome/impact.

The mid-term review will also check whether outputs are being delivered in the most efficient and effective way; whether the outputs are likely to lead to intended outcomes or if a different strategy is required. By this, the mid-term evaluation will provide a view on whether the intended objectives and activities are still relevant (for example, as a result of changes in the context or availability of new information). This is also a good opportunity to test whether current monitoring and evaluation arrangements will be adequate to demonstrate results by the end of the project.

The mid-term review will also assess the progress made compared to the situation before project interventions. A baseline report will be used to assess progress.

The mid-term review will cover 24 months (August 1st, 2023, to July 31st, 2025) This mid-term review will focus on this implementation period. The review is forward-looking and will also capture effectively lessons learned and provide information on the nature, extent and where possible, the potential impact and sustainability of the BMZ SK project. The review will collate and analyze lessons learned, challenges faced, and best practices obtained during implementation period which will inform the second phase of implementation (August 1st, 2025, to January 31st, 2028)

The mid-term review is expected to answer the following questions that are related to the designed results and indicators:

- i. **Relevance:** *Is the intervention doing the right things?*
 - Were interventions appropriate and effective for the target group based on their needs?
 - Which target groups and individuals were reached by the interventions?
 - How effective was the targeting approach in achieving the activity goal?
 - To what extent are the stated outcomes and outputs for the project on track?
 - To what extent have the results so far contributed to enhancing livelihoods, food security and Resilience.
 - Has the partnership strategy been appropriate and effective?
- ii. **Efficiency – (Of Program Implementation).** *How well are resources being used?*
 - Is the process of achieving results efficient?
 - What factors contribute to implementation efficiency?
 - Are there more efficient ways and means of delivering more and better results (outputs and outcomes) with the available inputs?
 - Could a different approach have produced better results?
 - How efficient are the accountability structures of the project?
 - What are the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of the project implementation process?
- iii. **Effectiveness:** *Is the intervention achieving its objectives?*
 - To what degree were the project's objectives successfully accomplished, or are they anticipated to be accomplished?



- To what extent have the project interventions been effective in achieving their intended outputs and outcomes, including improving beneficiaries' access to Climate-resilient livelihoods and socioeconomic infrastructure, protection and justice, and improved inclusive governance and conflict resolution mechanisms?
- What factors influenced achievement or non-achievement of output and outcome targets?
- Which project components showed strong results and required further scaling?
- What factors have contributed to achieving or hindering the intended outputs and outcomes?
- Was the strategy adopted, and inputs identified, realistic, appropriate and adequate for the achievement of the results?

iv. Sustainability

- To what extent are the benefits of the project likely to be sustained after the completion of these project activities?
- What is the likelihood of continuation and sustainability of the project outcome and benefits after completion of the project?
- How effective are the exit strategies and approaches to phase out assistance provided by the program, including contributing factors and constraints?
- What are the key factors that will require attention to improve prospects of sustainability of the Program outcome and the potential for replication of the approach?
- How are capacities strengthened at the individual and organizational level (including contributing factors and constraints)?
- Describe the main lessons that have emerged.
- What are the recommendations for similar support in the future?

4. Methodology:

The Mid-Term Review will employ both quantitative and qualitative data collection methods with triangulation of data from all possible sources. A set of broad Mid-Term review questions with relevant sub-questions will be formulated with a view to providing information about the extent to which programs' objectives/results have been achieved so far. Quantitative data (e.g. on outputs and outcomes achieved to date) will be sourced to answer the review questions and to provide relevant primary information.

The firm/consultant will suggest a methodology that will provide project management with robust information based on the objectives sought. To guide the process, the suggested methodology is expected to be making use of both qualitative and quantitative methods to answer the mid-term review questions. The methodology will also be expected to reveal and understand not only the "what" and the "how", but also to place more emphasis on exploring the "why"?

The firm/consultant will have a list of themes, questions, and review tools. The approach should be able to ensure a broad outreach of stakeholders involved, allowing for cross-validation of the findings as the Mid-Term review progress is important.

This is a mid-term evaluation involving qualitative and quantitative methods to evaluate the BMZ project implementation and its performance is to make recommendations for the remaining implementation period.

5. Accountability and Responsibilities:



If the consultant is not from South Kordofan state, and due to the difficulty of travel, the consultant is expected to carry out the assignment remotely in coordination with CARE staff at the federal and field levels and by making use of field enumerators to gather the needed quantitative and qualitative data. For more accuracy and ease exchange of information, the consultant must upload the generated questionnaire into Kobo/ODK and conduct individual interviews using mobile phones.

All designs, preparation, and reporting should be done by the consultant, while data collection in the field will be facilitated by CARE staff in the field under direct supervision and guidance of the consultant. The quantitative data collection tool (HH questionnaire) should be designed by the consultant and uploaded to Kobo toolbox, and the consultant will be responsible from analyzing the data, triangulate with the qualitative data and provide good quality report.

5.1 Consultant's Roles and Responsibilities:

- Establish working contacts with all stakeholders in South Kordofan States – Sudan.
- Review the relevant project documents.
- Prepare and submit the mid-term review proposal including methodology, work plan schedules and budget: (the budget covers consultant fees for design of the proper methodology, Inception report including all required data collection tools, data analyses and reporting etc.) break down of the budget is required.
- Prepare and share an inception report that reflects the review of design, methodology, and plan. In addition to improving tools used for the baseline, it is required to ensure collecting all required data.
- Identify the appropriate sample size to achieve the review objective.
- Uploading quantitative data collection tools in the Kobo/ODK system.
- Supervision of data collection (primary data and review of secondary data).
- Analyze and synthesize data; and prepare reports.
- Submit data analysis and draft report to CARE International Sudan for review and feedback
- Incorporate, compile and submit final report

5.2 Care International's Roles and Responsibilities:

- Review and approve the study tools and methodologies.
- Brief the stakeholders about the purpose of the midterm review.
- Provide all the necessary support to the consultant.
- Contact the State HAC & security to get permission.
- Assist in organizing all meetings.
- Support the consultant in hiring enumerators and supervisors for data collection in the field.
- Providing/renting vehicles for data collection in the field.
- Prepare and effect payment for the consultant upon completion of the assignments and acceptance of the final midterm review report.
- Ensure accuracy of representation in the research team and in the interviewees.
- Provide consultant with all supported documents

6. Expected Deliverables



The consultant is required to deliver the following:

- Inception report including the operational methodology and detailed work plan (indicating all the stages of the study process, timelines and team/individuals involved) and data gathering instruments (survey questionnaires, etc.) attached to the report.
- Write and submit the mid-term review report, draft, and final reports. The final mid-term review report should illustrate clear findings.

7. Proposed work plan

Activity Timeframe

Activity		# days
Development of Inception Report, including methodology and tools		3 days
Review of secondary information + finalization of tools by the consultant team.		3 days
Conduct primary data collections		10 days
Data entering cleaning and analyses		5 days
Draft and submit mid-term review survey report		5 days
Comments from stakeholders (CARE & partners)		4 days
Finalization and submission of the final report		5 days
Total		35 days

Finance:

Consultant is required to give detailed budget covering all his technical work including preparation of inception report and tools, data analyses and reporting.

Intended Users of the Mid-term review Report

Along with the project team, other staffs of Care International Sudan, Government related partners, community members, local NGOs and the donor will be benefiting from this midterm review report

Required qualification of the consultant.

- Relevant academic qualification in social sciences or another related field
- Good experience or educational background in livelihood, food security, and peace building?
- Demonstrated experience and skills in facilitating and conducting project evaluations.
- Extensive and demonstrated experience in HH surveys, feasibility studies, evaluations, needs assessments etc.

- Extensive experience in working within development or humanitarian sectors and familiar with participatory approaches
- Working experience in the context of refugees and IDPs (culture consideration)
- Experience in using Kobo toolbox/ODK in conducting remote data collection.



Supporting documents for proof of experience are required

Reporting format

Below is the suggested format for the report:

- ☐ Table of Content
- ☐ List of Abbreviations
- ☐ Executive Summary of findings
- ☐ Introduction
- ☐ Background, project description
- ☐ Objective, Methodology & Limitations
- ☐ Findings focusing on the project indicator results, Analysis and Interpretation
- ☐ Conclusion and Recommendations
- ☐ Annexes-not limited to:
 - List of people/organizations consulted and their identifications (including age and sex)
 - All data collection Tools (questionnaires etc.)
 - List of documents consulted
 - Mid Term Review TOR

Data Disclosure

The consultant should deliver, at minimum, all files including: quantitative data sets (raw and refined products), transcripts of qualitative data and others in an easy to read format, and maintain naming conventions and labelling for the use of the project/program/initiative and key stakeholders. All documents should be compliant with the following conditions:

- It is understood and agreed that the Consultant shall during and after the effective period of the contract, treat as confidential and not divulge, unless authorized in writing by CARE, any information obtained in the course of the performance of the Contract. Care and its partners will be the sole owners of all information collected in this survey.
- CARE must be provided with a final template of any surveys, interview guides, or other materials used during data collection. Questions within surveys should be assigned numbers and these should be consistent with variable labelling within final datasets.

- In the case of tabular datasets variable names and variable labels should be clear and indicative of the data that sits under them. Additionally, the labelling convention must be internally consistent and a full codebook/data dictionary must be provided.



Proposal Content:

Those interested in the consultancy must include in their application a detailed technical and financial proposal with the following components:

Technical

- Technical proposal for conducting the mid0-term review based on the given information and including methodology and work plan schedules.

Financial

- Budget, covering all the survey expenses such as consultancy fees, support staff, printing etc.).

For those who interested in this consultancy work, please send your proposals and the other required documents to the following emails:

Procurement: sdn.procurementtender@careinternational.onmicrosoft.co and Cc: Najat Ahmed Najat.Ahmed@care.org

MEAL: Nasreldin Saeed: Nasreldin.Saeed@care.org

Awadalla Kingi Sidani
BMZ - PM 